arXiv:1602.05890v1 [math.AC] 18 Feb 2016

DEPTH STABILITY OF EDGE IDEALS

JÜRGEN HERZOG AND TAKAYUKI HIBI

Abstract. Let G be a connected finite simple graph and let I_G be the edge ideal of G. The smallest number k for which $\operatorname{depth} S/I_G^k$ stabilizes is denoted by $\operatorname{dstab}(I_G)$. We show that $\operatorname{dstab}(I_G) < \ell(I_G)$ where $\ell(I_G)$ denotes the analytic spread of I. For trees we give a stronger upper bound for $\operatorname{dstab}(I_G)$. We also show for any two integers $1 \leq a < b$ there exists a tree for which $\operatorname{dstab}(I_G) = a$ and $\ell(I_G) = b$.

Introduction

It is a general feature that various homological and algebraic properties stabilize for powers of ideals in a Noetherian ring R. Most famous are the results of Brodmann who showed in [1] that $\operatorname{Ass}(R/I^k)$ stabilizes for large k, and in [2] that for R a Noetherian local ring, $\operatorname{depth} R/I^k$ is constant for all $k \gg 0$. Both statements are valid as well when I is a graded ideal in the polynomial ring $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, where K is a field.

The natural question arises whether there exists a bound k_0 independent of I but only dependent of R with the property that $\operatorname{Ass}(R/I^k)$ and $\operatorname{depth} R/I^k$ are stable for all $k \geq k_0$. In [5] the following invariants were introduced:

$$\operatorname{astab}(I) = \min\{k\colon \ \operatorname{Ass}(R/I^k) = \operatorname{Ass}(R/I^l) \text{ for all } l \geq k\},$$

and when R is local,

$$\operatorname{dstab}(I) = \min\{k\colon \ \operatorname{depth} R/I^k = \operatorname{depth} R/I^l \text{ for all } l \geq k\}.$$

For graded ideals in the polynomial ring S, one defines $\operatorname{astab}(I)$ and $\operatorname{dstab}(I)$ in the same way.

In general $\operatorname{astab}(I)$ and $\operatorname{dstab}(I)$ are incomparable. Indeed, in [5] an example of a monomial ideal is given with $\operatorname{astab}(I) < \operatorname{dstab}(I)$ and another one with $\operatorname{dstab}(I) < \operatorname{astab}(I)$. On the other hand, it is shown in [6, Theorem 4.1] that $\operatorname{astab}(I), \operatorname{dstab}(I) < \ell(I)$ for any polymatroidal ideal $I \subset S$, where $\ell(I)$ denotes the analytic spread of I, which by definition is the Krull dimension of $\mathcal{R}(I)/\mathfrak{m}\mathcal{R}(I)$. Here $\mathcal{R}(I)$ denotes the Rees ring of I and $\mathfrak{m}$ the graded maximal ideal $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ of S. Since $\ell(I) \leq \dim S$ for any graded ideal, we see that $\operatorname{astab}(I)$ and $\operatorname{dstab}(I)$ are bounded by the Krull dimension of S for any polymatroidal ideal I. There is no example of a graded ideal $I \subset S$ known to us for which $\operatorname{astab}(I)$ or $\operatorname{dstab}(I)$ exceeds the Krull dimension of S. Based on experimental evidence we therefore expect that $\operatorname{astab}(I), \operatorname{dstab}(I) < \ell(I) \leq \dim R$ when R is a regular local ring. By passing to the completion, one would then have similar inequalities in the graded case.

In this paper we show that $\operatorname{dstab}(I_G) < \ell(I_G)$ holds for the edge ideal of a connected finite simple graph G. This is the result of Theorem 1.2. In the case that G is a tree we give a stronger upper bound for value of $\operatorname{dstab}(I_G)$ in terms of the data of the tree. Indeed, we show in Theorem 2.1 that if G is a tree with n vertices and m free vertices, that is, vertices of degree 1, then for the edge ideal I_G of G we have $\operatorname{dstab}(I_G) \leq n - m$. This

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13A02, 13A15, 13A30, 13C15.

Key words and phrases. depth, analytic spread, edge ideals.

upper bound is reached for example, when G is a path graph. As a simple consequence of this result it can be shown (see Corollary 2.2) that for any two integers a and b with $1 \leq a < b$, there exists a tree G with $\operatorname{dstab}(I_G) = a$ and $\ell(I) = b$.

It is remarkable that the same number $n - m$ is a bound of $\operatorname{astab}(I_G)$ when G is a non-bipartite graph, as shown by Chen, Morey and Sung [3]. In the bipartite case, one has $\operatorname{astab}(I_G) = 1$ by a classical result of Simis, Vasconcelos and Villarreal [12]. Thus in any case $\operatorname{astab}(I) < \ell(I)$. In a very recent paper [8] Lam and Trung describe explicitly $\operatorname{Ass}(S/I_G^t)$ for all t and any finite simple graph G in terms of the ear decompositions of the induced strongly non-bipartite graphs of G. As a consequence, Lam and Trung succeed to give an explicit formula [8, Corollary 4.8] for $\operatorname{astab}(I_G)$ when G is non-bipartite.

The proofs of the results in this paper depend very much on certain upper and lower bounds for the depth of powers of monomial ideals. The first of these bounds is a formula [6, Theorem 3.3] giving an upper bound for the depth of S/I^k when I is monomial ideal generated in s single degree. This bound is expressed in terms of the relation graph of I. The details are given in Section 1. The other tool used to show that $\operatorname{dstab}(I_G)\ell < (I_G)$ is a result of Eisenbud and Huneke [4, Proposition 3.3] from which it follows that if $\mathcal{R}(I)$ is Cohen–Macaulay, then $\operatorname{dstab}(I) = \min\{k\colon \operatorname{depth} R/I^k = \dim R - \ell(I)\}$. Finally in the proof of Theorem 2.1 we use a result of Morey [10, Corollary 3.7] which says that if G is a tree whose diameter is δ, then $\operatorname{depth} S/I_G^k \geq \min\{\left\lceil \frac{\delta - k + q}{3} \right\rceil, 1\}$. Here q is the number of vertices v of G with the property that v is not a free vertex and there is at most one vertex in the neighborhood of v which is not free.

1. An upper bound of depth stability

We recall from [6] the definition of the linear relation graph of a monomial ideal I generated in a single degree. Let $G(I) = \{u_1, \ldots, u_m\}$ denote the unique minimal set of monomial generators of I. The *linear relation graph* Γ of I is the graph with edge set

$$E(\Gamma) = \{\{i, j\}\colon \text{there exist } u_k, u_l \in G(I) \text{ such that } x_i u_k = x_j u_l\}$$

and vertex set $V(\Gamma) = \bigcup_{\{i,j\} \in E(\Gamma)} \{i, j\}$.

If G is a finite graph, and I_G is the edge ideal of G we denote by Γ_G the linear relation graph of I_G.

Lemma 1.1. *Let G be a finite connected graph on $[n]$, and let r be the number of vertices of Γ_G and s the number of its connected components.*

(a) *If G bipartite and not a star graph, then $r = n$ and $s = 2$.*
(b) *If G is non-bipartite, then $r = n$ and $s = 1$.*

Proof. First note that $\{i, j\} \in E(\Gamma_G)$ if and only if there exists $k \in [n]$ such that $\{i, k\}, \{j, k\} \in E(G)$. It follows that i and j belong to the same connected component of Γ_G if and only if there is path of even length connecting i and j.

(a) Let $U \cup V$ be the decomposition of the vertex set of G. Since G is not a star graph, we have $|U|, |V| \geq 2$. Hence, since G is connected, for any $i \in U$ there exist $k \in V$ and $j \in U$ with $i \neq j$ such that $\{i, k\}, \{j, k\} \in E(G)$. Therefore, $i \in V(\Gamma_G)$. Similarly, if $i \in V$ then $i \in V(\Gamma_G)$. Let $i, j \in [n]$. Since G is bipartite, there exists a path of even length connecting i and j, if and only if either $i, j \in U$ or $i, j \in V$. Hence Γ_G has two components, each of which is a complete graph.

(b) Since G is non-bipartite, G contains at least one odd cycle, and since G is connected it follows that any two vertices i and j can be connected by a path. Hence $r = n$ and $s = 1$. □

We will use Lemma 1.1 to prove

Theorem 1.2. *Let G be a finite connected graph on $[n]$ with at least two edges. Then* $\operatorname{dstab}(I_G) < \ell(I)$. *In particular,* $\operatorname{dstab}(I_G) < n$.

Proof. For the proof of the theorem we will use the following two results from [6]: let S be a polynomial ring in n variables over a field, $I \subset S$ a monomial ideal generated in a single degree, and let Γ be the linear relation graph of I. Suppose that Γ has r vertices and s connected components. Then

$$\operatorname{depth} S/I^t \leq n - t - 1 \quad \text{for} \quad t = 1, \ldots, r - s, \tag{1.1}$$

and

$$\ell(I) \geq r - s + 1. \tag{1.2}$$

We first assume that G is non-bipartite. Since, by Lemma 1.1, $r = n$ and $s = 1$, it follows from (1.1) that $\operatorname{depth} S/I_G^{n-1} = 0$. Since for any edge ideal I_G one has $\operatorname{Ass}(I_G^k) \subset \operatorname{Ass}(I_G^{k+1})$ for all k, c.f. [9, Theorem 2.15], we see that $\operatorname{depth} S/I^k = 0$ for all $k \geq n - 1$. On the other hand, by (1.2) one has $\ell(I) \geq n$ which implies that $\ell(I_G) = n$. Thus we conclude that $\operatorname{dstab}(I_G) < \ell(I)$.

Next we assume that G is bipartite. The Rees ring $\mathcal{R}(I_G)$ of I_G may be considered as the edge ring of the graph G^* where G^* is obtained from G by adding a new vertex and connecting this vertex with all edges of G. Since G is bipartite it follows from [11, Corollary 2.3] that $\mathcal{R}(I_G)$ is normal. Since $\mathcal{R}(I_G)$ is a toric ring, a theorem of Hochster [7, Theorem 1] implies that $\mathcal{R}(I_G)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Now we apply the result of Eisenbud and Huneke [4, Proposition 3.3] which says that $\operatorname{depth} S/I_G^k \geq n - \ell(I)$ and $\operatorname{depth} S/I_G^k = n - \ell(I)$ for all $k \gg 0$, and whenever $\operatorname{depth} S/I_G^k = n - \ell(I)$ then $\operatorname{depth} S/I_G^l = n - \ell(I)$ for all $l \geq k$. By (1.2) we have $\ell(I_G) \geq n - 1$. Since G is bipartite, it follows that the rank of the vertex-edge incidence matrix is $< n$. Since this rank gives us the analytic spread of I_G we see that $\ell(I_G) = n - 1$, so that $\operatorname{depth} S/I_G^k = 1$ for all $k \gg 0$. On the other hand, assuming that G is not a star graph, Lemma 1.1 and (1.1) imply $\operatorname{depth} S/I_G^{n-2} \leq 1$, and hence $\operatorname{depth} S/I_G^k = 1$ for all $k \geq n - 2$. Thus we see that $\operatorname{dstab}(I) \leq n - 2 = \ell(I) - 1$ in this case. Now assume that G is a star graph with center 1. Then $I_G = x_1(x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_n)$, and it follows that $\operatorname{depth} S/I_G^k = 1$ for all k. Therefore, $\operatorname{dstab}(I_G) = 1 < \ell(I)$ if G is not just an edge. □

Remark 1.3. We notice that $\operatorname{astab}(I_G) \leq \operatorname{dstab}(I_G)$ if G is bipartite, and $\operatorname{dstab}(I_G) \leq \operatorname{astab}(I_G)$ if G is non-bipartite. Indeed, in the first case, $\operatorname{astab}(I_G) = 1$, since by a theorem of Simis, Vasconcelos and Villarreal [12, Theorem 5.9], I_G is normally torsionfree, which means that $\operatorname{Ass}(I_G^k) = \operatorname{Min}(I_G^k)$ for all k, and this implies that $\operatorname{Ass}(I_G) = \operatorname{Ass}(I_G^k)$ for all $k \geq 1$. On the other hand, if G is non-bipartite, then, as seen in the proof of Theorem 1.2, $\operatorname{dstab}(I) = \min\{k\colon \operatorname{depth} S/I_G^k = 0\}$. Thus, $\operatorname{dstab}(I_G) = \min\{k\colon \mathfrak{m} \in \operatorname{Ass}(S/I_G^k)\}$, and this implies that $\operatorname{dstab}(I_G) \leq \operatorname{astab}(I_G)$

The inequality, $\operatorname{dstab}(I_G) \leq \operatorname{astab}(I_G)$ together with [3, Corollary 4.3] give another proof of Theorem 1.2, and even a stronger bound for $\operatorname{dstab}(I)$ in the non-bipartite case.

2. The depth stability of trees

Theorem 2.1. *Let G be a tree on $[n]$ with m leaves.*

(a) $\operatorname{dstab}(I_G) \leq n - m$.
(b) *Let P be a path of maximal length in G, and suppose that all vertices of G have distance at most 2 to P. Then* $\operatorname{dstab}(I_G) = n - m$.

Proof. (a) We may assume that 1 is a free vertex of G, and 2 is its unique neighbor. For simplicity, we set $I = I_G$, and show that $x_1 - x_2$ is a non-zerodivisor of S/I^k for all k. It is enough to prove this for $k = 1$, because, as shown in [12], the edge ideal of any bipartite graph is normally torsionfree, equivalently I^k has no embedded prime ideals for any $k \geq 1$.

We define the following $\mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$-grading on S by setting $\deg x_1 = \deg x_2 = \varepsilon_1$ and $\deg x_i = \varepsilon_i$ for all $i > 2$. Here ε_i is the ith canonical unit vector of $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Then I is a $\mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$-graded ideal and $x_1 - x_2$ is homogeneous of degree ε_1. We need to show that $I : (x_1 - x_2) \subseteq I$. Let $g \in I : (x_1 - x_2)$. Because of the choice of our grading, we may assume that g is homogeneous, which implies that $g = (x_1 - x_2)^a x_1^{b_1} x_2^{b_2} \cdots x_n^{b_n}$ with $a, b_1, \ldots, b_n \geq 0$. It follows that $f = (x_1 - x_2)^{a+1} x_1^{b_1} x_2^{b_2} \cdots x_n^{b_n} \in I$. Since I is a monomial ideal this is the case if and only if for $i = 0, \ldots, a+1$, each monomial $v_i = x_1^{(a+1)-i+b_1} x_2^{i+b_2} x_3^{b_3} \cdots x_n^{b_n}$ belongs to I. Since $x_1x_2 \in I$ and since x_1x_2 divides v_i for $i = 1, \ldots, a$ we see that $f \in I$ if and only if v_0 and $v_{a+1} \in I$. By the same reasoning it follows that $g \in I$ if and only if $w_0 = x_1^{a+b_1} x_2^{b_2} \cdots x_n^{b_n}$ and $w_a = x_1^{b_1} x_2^{a+b_2} \cdots x_n^{b_n}$ belong to I. If $b_1 > 0$ and $b_2 > 0$, then obviously, $g \in I$. If either $b_1 = 0$ and $b_2 > 0$ or $b_1 > 0$ and $b_2 = 0$, then x_ix_j with $i < i$ must divide $x_2^{b_2} \cdots x_n^{b_n}$, and hence x_ix_j divides w_0 and w_a. Finally, if $b_1 = b_2 = 0$, then x_ix_j with $i < j$ divides $x_3^{b_3} \cdots x_n^{b_n}$, and hence x_ix_j divides w_0 and w_a.

Next we show that $\operatorname{depth} S/I^{n-m} = 1$. Because of [4, Proposition 3.3], this will then imply that $\operatorname{dstab}(I) \leq n - m$. In order to prove $\operatorname{depth} S/I^{n-m} = 1$, we show that $\operatorname{depth} S/J = 0$ where $J = (I^{n-m}, x_1 - x_2)$. Let E be the set of edges of G which are not leaves. Since G is tree, it follows that $|E| = n - m - 1$. Let

$$w = x_1 \prod_{\{i,j\} \in E} x_ix_j.$$

Then $w \notin J$ because $\deg w = n - m - 1$. However we show that $x_iw \in J$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, thereby proving that $\operatorname{depth} S/J = 0$, as desired.

Indeed, $x_1w - x_2w \in J$ and $x_2w \in J$. This implies that also $x_1w \in J$. Now let $i \neq 1, 2$. If i is a free vertex, let $(i_0, i_1, \ldots, i_k)$ be the unique path with $i_0 = i$, $i_{k-1} = 2$, $i_k = 1$ and $i_l \neq i_{l'}$ for $l \neq l'$. Then $\{i_l, i_{l+1}\} \in E$ for $l = 1, \ldots, k-2$. Let

$$E' = \{(i_l, i_{l+1}) \colon\ l = 1, \ldots, k-2\}.$$

Note that $E' \subset E$, and

$$w = x_1 \prod_{l=1}^{k-2} x_{i_l}x_{i_{l+1}} \prod_{\{i,j\} \in E \setminus E'} x_ix_j.$$

Then

$$x_iw = x_1x_2 \prod_{l=0}^{k-3} x_{i_l}x_{i_{l+1}} \prod_{\{i,j\} \in E \setminus E'} x_ix_j \in I^{n-m}.$$

In the above argument, if i is not a free vertex, then $E' = \{(i_l, i_{l+1}) \colon\ l = 0, \ldots, k-2\}$ and

$$x_iw = (x_{i_0}x_{i_1})^2 \prod_{l=2}^{k-1} x_{i_l}x_{i_{l+1}} \prod_{\{i,j\} \in E \setminus E'} x_ix_j \in I^{n-m}.$$

(b) We will show that $\operatorname{depth} S/I^{n-m-1} \geq 2$ if G satisfies the assumptions of (b). Together with (a) it then follows that $\operatorname{dstab}(I) = n - m$. For the proof of this statement we use the following lower bound for the depth of the powers of edge ideals of trees due to Morey [10, Corollary 3.7]: let G be tree with diameter δ. The diameter is the maximal length

of a path contained in G. Furthermore, let q be the number of vertices v of G with the property that v is not a free vertex and there is at most one vertex in the neighborhood of v which is not free. Then

$$\operatorname{depth} S/I_G^k \geq \min\{\left\lceil \frac{\delta - k + q}{3} \right\rceil, 1\}.$$

We claim that $\delta - (n-m) + q = 3$. The Morey's lower bound gives $\operatorname{depth} S/I^{n-m-1} \geq 2$, as desired.

Let P be a path in G of length δ. We prove the claim by induction on the number of vertices not belonging to P. If this number is zero, then $G = P$, and the claim is obvious. Now assume that $G \neq P$. Let v be a free vertex of G of distance 1 to P. Removing v does not change $\delta - (n-m) + q$. Let v be a free vertex of distance 2 to P. Let w be the neighbor of v. Assume that $v_1, \ldots, v_r$ with $v = v_1$ are the free vertices whose neighbor is w. If $r > 1$, removing v_r does not change $\delta - (n-m) + q$. After these reductions we may assume that each vertex w of distance 1 to P has exactly 2 neighbors. It follows that $\delta - (n-m) + q = 3$, as desired. □

Corollary 2.2. *Given integers a and b with $1 \leq a < b$. Then there exists a tree G such that $\operatorname{dstab}(I_G) = a$ and $\ell(I_G) = b$.*

Proof. Let P be a path of length a. We attach to one of the free vertices of P, $b-a$ leaves to obtain the graph G. Then $n = b+1$ and $m = (b-a)+1$. Therefore, $\ell(I_G) = n-1 = b$ and $\operatorname{dstab}(I_G) = n - m = a$. □

References

[1] M. Brodmann, Asymptotic stability of $\operatorname{Ass}(M/I^nM)$. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **74** (1979), 16–18.

[2] M. Brodmann, The Asymptotic Nature of the Analytic Spread. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos Soc. **86** (1979), 35–39.

[3] J. Chen, S. Morey and A. Sung, The stable set of associated primes of the ideal of a graph. Rocky Montain J. Math. **32** (2002), 71–89.

[4] D. Eisenbud and C. Huneke, Cohen-Macaulay Rees Algebras and their Specializations. J. Algebra **81** (1983), 202–224.

[5] J. Herzog, A. Rauf and M. Vladoiu, The stable set of associated prime ideals of a polymatroidal ideal. J. Alg. Combin. **37** (2013), 289–312.

[6] J. Herzog and A. Qureshi, Persistence and stability properties of powers of ideals. J. Pure and Appl. Alg., J. Pure and Appl. Alg. **219** (2015), 530–542.

[7] M. Hochster, Rings of invarants of tori, Cohen–Macaulay rings generated by monomials, and polytopes. Ann. Math. **96** 1972, 318–337.

[8] H.M. Lam and N.V. Trung, Associated primes of powers of edge ideals and ear decompositions of graphs. arXiv:1506.01483

[9] J. Martnez-Bernal, S. Morey, R.H. Villarreal, Associated primes of powers of edge ideals. Collectanea Mathematica **63** (2011), 361–374.

[10] S. Morey, Depths of Powers of the Edge Ideal of a Tree. Communications in Algebra **38**, (2010), 4042–4055.

[11] H. Ohsugi, T. Hibi, Normal Polytopes Arising from Finite Graphs. J. Alg. **207** (1998), 409–426.

[12] A. Simis, W.V. Vasconcelos and R. Villarreal On the ideal theory of graphs. J. Algebra **167** (1994), 389–416.

Jürgen Herzog, Fachbereich Mathematik, Universität Duisburg-Essen, Campus Essen, 45117 Essen, Germany

E-mail address: juergen.herzog@uni-essen.de

Takayuki Hibi, Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Graduate School of Information Science and Technology, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan
E-mail address: hibi@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp